UNDER GOD, We the People, Returning to our Roots Newsletter: Volume 1, Number 6

June 1, 2023

Write it Right!

THE CHRISTIAN RESISTANCE Part IV of IV

When the Lord gave me the insight that our United States Constitution is a Humanist constitution, I immediately grabbed my United States Documents handbook to prove the revelation wrong. Nope. Not wrong. Although a Supreme Being is acknowledged in all fifty states, God is not acknowledged in the document that legally defines our nation before God, the world, and history. Only Man is acknowledged: *We the People*, aka Humanism.

One might argue that because the majority of people back then were Christians, *We the People* meant the United States was a Christian nation. A nice sentiment, but nations are not defined by their demographics: Christian, Muslim, Jewish, etc. They are defined by their legal documents.

For example: The Constitution of Saudi Arabia begins with: "The kingdom of Saudi Arabia is a sovereign Arab Islamic state with Islam as its religion..."

What if Hindus were the majority of the population in Saudi Arabia? Would Saudi Arabia be a Hindu state or an Islamic state? It would be what its constitution defines it as: an Islamic state. Of course, the Hindus could try to change the constitution to make it a Hindu state.

Similarly, our U.S. Constitution defines the United States as a humanist state: *We the People*. If Christians do not like that, they can work to change the constitution back to our Christian roots as written in the Mayflower Compact 400 years ago. This is exactly what *UNDER GOD*, *We the People, Returning to Our Roots*, has set out to do.

Trying to change the direction of a nation after two hundred years is no simple task, and I can understand why Humanists, Communists, Socialists, etc. would resist such a campaign. But Christians? Why would Christians resist?

The following are reasons that a Patriot-focused Christian ministry has put forth.

- 1. While we agree that the Constitution might well benefit from the change you propose, it will only be effective if general support exists for it, as no legal document alone can carry the weight of ethos. Example, Yale University continues to operate under its original Biblical and Evangelical charter, with zero evidence it carries any weight.
- 2. We hold a Providential view of history. The emphasis is on God, not man and his frailties. Whether we agree with it or not, the founders had a faithful Christian reason for silence

in religion, a silence which honored our federalism and the jurisdiction of the States. We do not support promoting a cause at the expense of the reputations of godly men—for whom there is no condemnation in Christ Jesus.

I have addressed all of the above smoke-and mirror-statements in my past three newsletters except the last sentence: "We do not support promoting a cause at the expense of the reputations of godly men—for whom there is no condemnation in Christ Jesus."

Let's break that sentence down into two parts:

Part One: "We do not support promoting a cause at the expense of the reputations of godly men..."

Part Two: "...for whom there is no condemnation in Christ Jesus."

Part One: By saying they cannot promote a cause at the expense of the reputations of godly men, they blundered into admitting the founders are guilty of something that would hurt their reputations.

What is it? What are they guilty of?

Because the issue in question is the United States Constitution, let's review some basics:

Fact #1: The content of our U.S. Constitution is Godless.

Fact #2: When we remove God from His rightful place, we have to fill His place with someone or something else, in this case Man (*We the People*).

Fact #3: The Preamble to the U.S. Constitution removes God from His rightful place, stating *We the People* are going to:

- 1. Form a more perfect Union,
- 2. Establish Justice.
- 3. Insure domestic Tranquility,
- 4. Provide for the common defense,
- 5. Promote the general Welfare,
- 6. And secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity

Fact #4: In this declaration, Man (*We the People*) has taken the place of God because only God can:

1. *Form a more <u>perfect</u> Union* because "Every good and <u>perfect</u> gift is from above." James 1:17

- 2. Establish <u>justice</u> because the LORD's "arm will bring <u>justice</u> to the nations." Isaiah 51:5
- 3. *Insure domestic <u>Tranquility</u>* because the LORD "grants <u>peace</u> to your borders and satisfies you with the finest wheat." Psalm 147:14
- 4. *Provide for the common <u>defense</u>* because "unless the LORD watches over the city, the <u>watchmen</u> (*We the People*) stand guard in vain." Psalm 127:1
- 5. *Promote the general Welfare* because "cursed is the one who trusts in man (*We the People*), who depends on flesh (*We the People*) for his strength and whose heart turns away from the LORD." Jeremiah 17:5
- 6. Secure the <u>Blessings</u> of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity because blessings and prosperity will be for those who fear the LORD and walk in his ways. Psalm 128:1-2

Fact #5: Because our U.S. Constitution is Man-centered and without God, it is a Humanist constitution.

Fact #6: Per our U.S. Constitution, we are One Nation under *We the People*, not One Nation Under God.

If the founders had never seen a Godly constitution and had only known Humanist constitutions, one could understand their choice. But these landowners, attorneys, and military leaders were born into the birthrights of two Godly constitutions: the Magna Carta where God is acknowledged and honored, and the Mayflower Compact with one of its goals being the "advancement of the Christian faith." These were the only constitutions they had lived under. This means they did not act out of ignorance, but knowingly rejected God in order to create a nation solely under Man (We the People)—never naming God, never acknowledging His Sovereignty, never thanking Him—resulting in a Humanist national birthright for the generations to come.

So, we see this Christian ministry was right when it admitted our cause to acknowledge God in the United States Constitution would be at the expense of the reputations of godly men. Ironically, by refusing to tarnish their reputations, this ministry has fallen into the same trap: choosing Man (men's reputations) over acknowledging God in our U.S. Constitution.

Because it would be impossible to find a Scripture to justify their do-nothing solution, they throw out "...for whom there is no condemnation in Christ Jesus," as if I am condemning men by launching a campaign to honor God in our constitution. I'm not condemning anyone; they are by implying the founders did something worthy of condemnation.

3

¹ Mayflower Compact, Agreement between the Settlers at New Plymouth, 1620

While we're on the topic of condemnation, perhaps they should consider that their do-nothing solution condemns our nation's children and children's children to live under a Humanist constitution, holding them hostage for the reputations of a few dead men.

Our solution is simple: "We ought to obey God rather than men." Acts 5:29

Write it Right!

See our website: undergodwethepeople.com Contact us: riordandonegal@gmail.com